Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

Received & Inspected

AUG 04 2017

In the Matter of)	FCC Mailroom
SSM Health –St. Mary's Hospital -Audrain)	
)	WC Docket No. 02-60
Request for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrative Company)	DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

To: Wireline Competition Bureau

REQUEST FOR REVIEW AND RULE WAIVER

Pursuant to §§ 54.719(c) and 54.720(a) of the Commission's Rules ("Rules"), SSM Health –St. Mary's Hospital -Audrain ("Audrain") hereby requests that the Commission review and reverse the decision of the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") below, waive § 54.605 of the Rules, and grant funding to Audrain as specified herein. In support thereof, the following is respectfully submitted:

FACTS

Located in Mexico Missouri, SSM Health St. Mary's Hospital – Audrain is Catholic, not-for-profit health care system. Audrain offers a range of services including emergency care, cardiology services, medical imaging, men's health services, women and child services, and diabetes education throughout Missouri. It has been in operation for over 50 years.

In 2012, Audrain engaged a consulting firm, USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. ("UHC"), to assist it in obtaining Universal Service support through the Telecommunications Program ("Telecom Program") for rural health care providers ("HCPs"). Audrain authorized UHC to prepare the FCC Forms 465 ("Form 465") and the FCC Forms 466 ("Form 466") necessary to

obtain Telecom Program funding and to submit them electronically to USAC's Rural Health Care Division ("RHCD").

UHC helped Audrain obtain funding for switched Ethernet services to connect back to their corporate facilities.

As the Commission is aware, participants in the Telecom Program have found it difficult to determine urban rates as required by § 54.605 of the Rules. As set forth in the Declaration of Geoff W. Boggs, UHC's Chief Executive Officer, UHC found it difficult to obtain tariffed or publicly available rates for high-speed Ethernet packet-based services that are offered in urban areas (cities with populations of 50,000 or more). Consequently, UHC followed the practice of obtaining urban rates from urban service providers. To document the urban rate, UHC asked the provider to supply a letter on its letterhead that states the rate that is charged in an urban area in the state.

In the case of Audrain, UHC relied on a letter, dated February 11, 2015 from Scott Madison, the managing member of Network Services Solutions ("NSS"). Mr. Madison represented that "[t]he urban rate for a 1 Gig Ethernet point-to point connection in Kansas City, Mo. is \$100.00 per channel termination. This rate is based upon a 36-month contract." UHC prepared and submitted a Form 466 for Audrain that gave \$200 (\$100 per termination end) as the urban rate for 1 Gig Mbps Ethernet service.

¹ See, e.g., Comments of Alaska Communications, GN Docket No. 16-46, at 12-13 (May 24, 2017) ("Alaska Communications Comments").

² See Exhibit 1 at 2 (\P 7).

³ See id. (¶ 8).

⁴ See id.

⁵ Id. (¶ 9).

⁶ See id. at 6 (¶ 6), 2 (Table 2).

On March 29, 2017, the RHCD requested that AUDRAIN explain how it derived the \$100.00 urban rate to provide urban rate documentation. I effectively informed the RHCD that Audrain was amending its Form 466s by specifying that the urban rate was \$195.00. In response, UHC provided RHCD with documents showing that BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC offered to provide 1 GIG Mbps switched Ethernet service throughout Missouri at monthly charge of \$195.00 under a three-year contract. Thereafter, UHC repeatedly asked if the RHCD needed additional information or if it could speak with the RHCD staffer who was reviewing the \$195.00 urban rate. UHC expected that it would be contacted if the RHCD had any questions with regard to the urban rate, and that it would be afforded the opportunity to address any such questions before the RHCD would render its funding decisions. However, UHC was given no such opportunity.

On June 2, 2017, the RHCD notified Audrain that USAC was "unable to provide support" to Audrain, specifically because it had not "demonstrated that the urban rate provided for the requested service is 'no higher than the highest tariffed or publicly-available rate charged to a commercial customer for a *functionally similar* service' in any city with a population of 50,000 or more in that state." The RHCD did not explain why Audrain's submissions were insufficient or why it did not grant Audrain's requests for the opportunity to address the urban rate issue.

The lack of funding to operate and maintain high speed broadband leads to limited growth and innovations regarding education and healthcare to the rural communities served. High speed

⁷ See id. at 3 (¶¶ 11, 12).

⁸ See id. (¶ 13).

⁹ See id. at 4-5 (¶¶ 14, 15, 17-19).

¹⁰ See id. at 5 (¶ 21).

¹¹ See id.

¹² Id. (¶ 22).

broadband connecting SSM Health St. Mary's Hospital – Audrain to rural clinics and sister hospitals is a fundamental component of operations allowing data sharing that supports efficient and quality patient care, sharing of internal resources, educational opportunities for clinicians and telemedicine opportunities.

WAIVER STANDARD

Audrain seeks a waiver of § 54.603 of the Rules to permit it to receive the appropriate level of USF support for the Funding Year 2016. The Commission has the discretion to grant the requested waiver under § 1.3 of the Rules, which provides:

The provisions of this chapter may be suspended, revoked, amended, or waived for good cause shown, in whole or in part, at any time by the Commission, subject to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act ["APA"] and the provisions of this chapter. Any provision of the rules may be waived by the Commission on its own motion or on petition if good cause therefor is shown.¹³

Generally speaking, the Commission may exercise its discretion under the APA and § 1.3 of the Rules to suspend or waive a Rule for good cause "only if special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general and such deviation will serve the public interest." *Northeast Cellular Telephone Co., L.P. v. FCC*, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990). Of course, the Commission must grant waivers pursuant to an "appropriate general standard." *WAIT Radio v. FCC*, 418 F.2d 1153, 1159 (D.C. Cir. 1969). The Wireline Competition Bureau ("WTB") recently set forth the general standard that is applied to requests for waivers of §§ 54.600 – 54.625 of the Rules, which govern the Telecom Program:

The Commission may exercise its discretion to waive a rule where the particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest. In addition, the Commission may take into account considerations of hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy on an individual basis. Waiver of the Commission's rules is appropriate only if both (i) special circumstances warrant a deviation from the general rule, and (ii) such deviation will serve the public

¹³ 47 C.F.R. § 1.3.

interest.14

ARGUMENT

In the words of one participant in the Telecom Program, the rules governing the program ("Telecom Rules") "written two decades ago for a world of tariffed low-bandwidth, circuit-switched services are increasingly unworkable." In 2012, the Commission promised to address potential reforms to the Telecom Program "at a future date." In the meantime, it has allowed its woefully outdated Telecom Rules to remain in effect. Section 54.605 of the Telecom Rules is one such rule.

Adopted in 1997, § 54.605 of the Telecom Rules has remained virtually unchanged. ¹⁸ The rule provides that the "urban rate" that an HCP should pay is "a rate no higher than the highest tariffed or publicly-available rate charged to a commercial customer for a functionally similar service in any city with a population of 50,000 or more in that state, calculated as if it were provided between two points within the city." Although "[d]etermining the urban rate" is the heading of § 54.605, the rule does address exactly how an HCP should go about determining the "highest tariffed or publicly-available rate charged" for a similar service in an urban area.

The Commission assumed in 1997 that such the urban rate would be "tariffed or publicly available" and thus readily accessible. That assumption may have been well founded in 1997, but not so today. Now, HCPs use high-bandwidth services, like video and teleconferencing, which

¹⁴ Rural Health Care Universal Service Support Mechanism, 2017 WL 735668, at *2 (WTB Feb. 10, 2017). (footnotes omitted) ("NSS Waiver Decision").

¹⁵ Alaska Communications Comments at 12.

¹⁶ Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, 27 FCC Rcd 16678, 16751 n.433 (2012)

¹⁷ See id. at 16815 (¶ 344).

¹⁸ Compare Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, 9348-49 (1997) with 47 C.F.R. § 54.605 (2017).

are provided by lightly-regulated competitive carriers over high-speed Ethernet packet-based networks. Those services are provided at competitive, market-driven rates, which often are neither tariffed nor publicly-available.¹⁹ USAC was undoubtedly aware that HCPs were experiencing difficulty in ascertaining the urban rates for broadband Ethernet-based services.

The difficulties UHC experienced in obtaining urban rates for Ethernet services led it to obtain the urban rates for such services from urban service providers.²⁰ UHC's practice would be to obtain a letter on a service provider's letterhead that would state the rate that is charged in an urban area in the state for an Ethernet service similar to that required by the HCP. UHC would provide USAC with a copy of the service provider's letter to document the urban rate. The provision of such a letter is an approved means of documenting an urban rate.²¹

In this case, UHC obtained a letter on NSS's letterhead that represented that the urban rate for 1 GIG Ethernet service in Kansas City, Mo. was \$100.00 per channel termination. The Commission subsequently found that NSS's determinations of urban rates apparently were not calculated in the manner required by § 54.605 of the Telecom Rules.²² Accordingly, when the RHCD questioned the validity of the urban rate that NSS supplied to Audrain, UHC was forced to obtain documentation from another urban service provider. We submitted another urban rate to show \$195.00 was the urban rate for 1 Gig Mbps Ethernet service in Missouri.²³ UHC obtained

¹⁹ See Exhibit 1 at 2 (¶ 7).

²⁰ See id. at 2 (¶ 8).

²¹ See Form 466 Instructions, at 8 (July 2014) (urban rate documentation "may include tariff pages, contracts, a letter on company letterhead from the urban service provider, rate pricing information printed from the urban service provider's website, or similar documentation").

²² See Network Services Solutions, LLC, 31 FCC Rcd 12238, 12275 (¶ 107) (2016).

²³ See Exhibit 1 at 3-4 (¶ 13).

such documentation and submitted it to the RHCD in timely fashion.²⁴

During the 65-day period between March 29, 2017, when AUDRAIN responded to the RHCD's inquiry, and June 2, 2017, when the RHCD rendered its funding decision, the RHCD did not: (1) advise UHC that its submission did not demonstrate its urban rate was no higher than the highest rate charged in Kansas City for 1 Gig Ethernet service; (2) respond to UHC's repeated requests for feedback; or (3) give UHC an opportunity to correct Audrain's response by specifying that the urban rate for the Ethernet service should be \$1254.57 (\$214.50 +\$1,040.07). The RHCD simply and inexplicably denied funding to Audrain.

Under the special circumstances of this case, the strict enforcement of § 54.605 would be inequitable, inconsistent with the policies embodied in § 254(h)(1)(A) of the Act, and ultimately inconsistent with the public interest. With respect to the equities, the Commission should note the following facts.

- It is difficult for HCPs to determine the urban rates for Ethernet services in accordance with the outdated requirements of § 54.605.
- Audrain complied with the Commission's requirement that it submit "missing or relevant support documentation" within 14 days of the RHCD's request for information.²⁵
- UHC relied on NSS's \$195.00 urban rate in good faith, and that reliance led it to incorrectly identify AT&T's Ethernet basic port charge of \$195.00 as the urban rate in its initial response to the RHCD's inquiry.²⁶
- UHC reasonably expected that the RHCD would give it the opportunity to correct any

²⁴ See id.

²⁵ Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, 30 FCC Rcd 230, 231 (¶ 3) (WCB 2015).

²⁶ See Exhibit 1 at 3-4 (¶ 13), Attachment 1.

errors in its initial submission.²⁷

- The RHCD ignored UHC's repeated requests to be informed of any problem with its proposed urban rate, and to be given the opportunity to address any such problem.
- UHC could have corrected its error in timely fashion had the RHCD clearly informed UHC that the urban rate had to include one of AT&T's "committed information rates" ("CIRs") as well as its basic port charge.²⁸
- Once it learned that the urban rate should include AT&T's port charge and a CIR, UHC proposed the correct urban rate of \$1,254.57 (\$214.50 + \$1,040.07).²⁹

Audrain respectfully submits that RHCD abused its discretion when it refused to allow UHC to correct its mistaken reliance on NSS. The RHCD's refusal to grant equitable relief to Audrain makes it inequitable for the Commission to strictly enforce § 54.605 in this case. The Commission should grant Audrain a limited waiver of § 54.605 to permit it to receive funding for the Fiscal Year 2016. Such action would be consistent with the relief that the Commission has afforded other HCPs whose reliance on NSS led USAC to deny their funding requests. See NSS Waiver Decision, 2017 WL 735668, at *2-3 (¶¶ 6-8).

Grant of the requested waiver would comport with the policy that Congress codified when it authorized the Commission to establish the Telecom Program. Congress instructed the Commission to base policies for the preservation and advancement of universal service in part on the principle that HCPs "should have access to advance telecommunications services as described".

²⁷ See id. at 5 (¶ 21).

²⁸ See id. at 5-6 (¶¶ 23, 24), Attachment 3.

²⁹ See id. at 5-6 (¶ 23), Attachment 3.

in [§ 254(h) of the Act]."30 Section 254(h)(1)(A) of the Act provides:

A telecommunications carrier shall, upon receiving a bona fide request, provide telecommunications services which are necessary for the provision of health care services in a State, including instruction relating to such services, to any public or nonprofit [HCP] that serves persons who reside in rural areas in that State at rates that are reasonably comparable to rates charged for similar services in urban areas in that State. A telecommunications carrier providing service under this paragraph shall be entitled to have an amount equal to the difference, if any, between the rates for services provided to [HCPs] for rural areas in a State and the rates for similar services provided to other customers in comparable rural areas in that State treated as a service obligation as a part of its obligation to participate in the mechanisms to preserve and advance universal service.³¹

Congress codified the policy that HCPs be afforded access to advanced telecommunications services, such as Ethernet-based broadband services, at rates that are reasonably comparable to urban rates for similar services. That Congressional policy must outweigh the interests of "efficiency and effectiveness" that are served by the 14-day deadline for submitting urban rate documentation to the RHCD.³² And that policy would clearly be served if the Commission permits Audrain to submit a Form 466 that will allow it to receive Ethernet services at rates that are in fact reasonably comparable to the rates charged by AT&T for similar Ethernet services in cities in Missouri. The Commission should reverse the RHCD and grant the rule waiver that is necessary to allow Audrain to submit such a Form 466 to the RHCD *nunc pro tunc* as of March 29, 2017.

REQUEST FOR RELIEF

Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is two Form 466's for Audrain. The first lists a rural rate of \$3,000.00 for 1 Gig Mbps Ethernet service provided by Charter-Fiberlink and an urban rate of \$2,509.14 and a second that lists the rural rate of \$26,932 for service provided by Windstream

^{30 47} U.S.C. § 254(b)(6).

^{31 47} U.S.C. § 254(h)(1)(A).

³² Rural Health Care Support Mechanism, 30 FCC Rcd at 231 (¶ 3).

Communications and an urban rate of \$2509.14. Audrain respectfully requests that the Commission; (1) waive § 54.605 of the Telecom Rules to the limited extent of allowing AUDRAIN to submit the Form 466 that is attached as Exhibit 2 to USAC; and (2) direct USAC to process the Form 466 as if it had been submitted on March 29, 2017 in response to the RHCD's request for information.

Respectfully submitted,

SSM Health –St. Mary's Hospital -Audrain

By:

Dawn Evans

Information Systems Manager Integrated Health Technologies

SSMHealth St Mary's Hospital - Audrain

573-582-8421

July 28, 2017

EXHIBIT 1

DECLARATION

I, Geoff W. Boggs, do hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I am the Chief Executive Officer of USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. ("UHC").
- 2. USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. is a Kentucky based corporation that assists nonprofit Healthcare Facility with their Universal Service Fund ("USF") applications.
- 3. SSM Health –St. Mary's Hospital -Audrain (Audrain) is a rural not-for-profit health care system hospital that includes an 89-bed hospital that is located in Mexico, Missouri.
- 4. UHC was retained to assist Audrain in obtaining USF support through the Telecommunications Program ("Telecom Program") for rural health care providers ("HCPs"). Audrain authorized UHC to prepare the FCC Forms 465 ("Form 465s") and the FCC Forms 466 ("Form 466s") necessary to obtain Telecom Program funding and to submit them electronically to the Rural Health Care Division ("RHCD") of the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC").
- 5. I am preparing this declaration to support the appeal and request for waiver that Audrain plans to file with respect to the RHCD's decisions not to approve the funding request number ("FRN") identified in Table 1below:

TABLE 1

Fund Year	HCP Number	HCP Name	FRN
2016	17380	Audrain Medical Center	1689315
2016	17380	Audrain Medical Center	1689314

6. UHC prepared and submitted the Form 465s and Form 466s associated with the FRNs identified above. I was listed as the contact person at Line 16 of the Form 465s and I

electronically signed and certified the Form 466s. The two Form 466's that were submitted electronically to USAC on September 18, 2016 included the information set forth in Table 2.

Table 2

HCP	FRN	Service	Bandwidth	Rural Rate	Urban Rate
17380 Audrain Medical		Service	Banawiacii	ndrai nate	Nate
Ctr	1689315	Ethernet	1 Gig	*\$28,844.17	\$200.00
17380 Audrain Medical					
Ctr	1689314	Ethernet	1 Gig	\$3,000.00	\$200.00

- 7. UHC found it difficult to obtain tariffed or publicly available rates for high-speed Ethernet packet-based services that are offered in urban areas (cities with populations of 50,000 or more). Typically, such services are provided by lightly-regulated competitive carriers that neither publish tariffs nor make their urban rates available to the public.
- 8. Because of the difficulty of obtaining publicly-available urban rates for Ethernet services, UHC followed the practice of obtaining urban rates from urban service providers. To document the urban rate, UHC asked the provider to supply a letter on its letterhead that states the rate that is charged in an urban area in the state for an Ethernet service similar to that required by the HCP.
- 9. To provide the urban rate documentation required by Line 41 of the Form 466, Audrain submitted a letter, dated February 11, 2015, from Scott Madison, the managing member of Network Services Solutions ("NSS"). Mr. Madison represented that "[t]he Urban rate for a 1 Gig Ethernet connection in Kansas City, Mo. is \$100.00 per channel termination. This rate is based upon a 36-month contract." I understood that NSS provided service to HCPs in the Telecom

^{*}For FRN 1689315 -The original Rural Rate included taxes.

Program, and I was led to believe that I could rely on the urban rates that NSS supplied.

- 10. As far as I am aware, there is no Commission rule that informs an HCP of how it must submit a Form 466 electronically to USAC, or how the HCP must document the urban rate that is provided in a Form 466. Moreover, I do not know of a Commission rule that affords an HCP no more than 14 calendar days to respond to a USAC request for omitted or adequate documentation of the urban rate. I was led to believe that an HCP was free to supplement its initial response to a USAC request for urban rate documentation.
- 11. On March 27, 2017, the RHCD sent emails to Audrain and UHC, it referred to an attachment that posed questions with regard to the HCP's the above-identified FRN. The email stated, "Please submit your responses to these inquiries by no later than fourteen (14) calendar days from the date of this letter. Failure to provide the requested information within this time frame will result in denial of the funding requests." In contrast, the attachment concluded:

Please submit your responses to the above requests by no later than **fourteen (14)** calendar days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond to USAC's information requests in a timely manner and/or provide the requested documentation demonstrating compliance with the Commission's rules may result in denial of the funding request, a commitment adjustment, rejection of an invoice, and/or recovery of improperly disbursed funds. The responses you provide may also result in a follow-up information requests by USAC as necessary.

12. Audrain was requested to provide: (a) an explanation of "how the urban of \$100.00 was derived;" (b) "documentation to support the urban rate provided, including, but not limited to, documentation that supports that the urban rate for the requested service is 'no higher than the highest tariffed or publicly-available rate charged to a commercial customer for a functionally similar service' in any city with a population of 50,000 or more in that state;" and (c) an "explanation how the HCP's request for 1 Gig Mbps Ethernet service is 'functionally similar' to the services(s) used for purposes of this comparison."

13. Attachment 1 to this declaration is a copy of the email that I sent to the RHCD on March 29, 2017, which was in response the RCHD's information request. I effectively informed the RHCD that Audrain was amending its Form 466s by specifying that the urban rate was \$195.00. In my email, I stated as follows:

I have attached the AT&T tariff which is for up to a 1 GIG for \$195. That will cover these 7 circuits listed

HCP 17380

FRN's:

1689315

1689318

1689322

1689314

1689317

1689321

1689323

• We have since withdrawn all FRN's except FRN 1689315 and 1689314

To document the \$195.00 urban rate, I provided the RHCD with a two-page rate card that showed AT&T's rates for its switched Ethernet services effective May 1, 2016, and an excerpt from the "AT&T Switched Ethernet Service Guide," which described the service. Those documents showed that BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC offered to provide 1 Gig Mbps switched Ethernet service throughout Missouri at monthly charge of \$195.00 under a three-year contract.

14. In my March 29, 2017 email, I asked the RHCD to confirm that it received my

email. I also requested that the RHCD "let me know if we are missing anything."

15. Concerned that USAC had not approved the Forms 466s that UHC had filed that relied on the \$195.00 urban rate, I sent an email to Erica Stauter at USAC on April 14, 2017 in which I stated:

I wanted to ask about the Ethernet applications we filed and then resubmitted urban rates. We have not received any approvals on these and I wanted to make sure that you did not need anything else from us. Jeremy [Matkovich] told us our urban rates were fine, so I am just checking.

Some of our HCP [clients] are clamoring about their credits and I want to give them an answer.

16. On April 14, 2017, Blythe Albert responded to my email to Ms. Stauter. She sent me an email informing me as follows:

There seems to be some miscommunication about the forms below. These forms are being reviewed using the documentation provided. Until the reviews of all of these forms has been completed no commitments will be issued. During the review process, additional questions may be asked to verify the information provided. The attached email is the correspondence between you and Jeremy. He did not explicitly say that the urban rates were fine. The first sentence says, "If the monthly recurring cost for services(s) that the HCP is requesting only for the transport and does not include any service charges(s)......" We will reach out with more questions if necessary. Thanks.

- 17. I immediately sent Ms. Albert an email in which I asked her: "If they are not accepted, will you tell us before denying? We want to make sure we are providing the right urban rates." Ms. Albert did not answer my question.
- 18. Beginning on May 11, 2017, I began providing Ms. Albert with copies AT&T pricing schedules showing that AT&T offered 1 Gig Mbps switched Ethernet service to HCPs at rates comparable to the \$195 urban rate specified in the Form 466s that the Audrain HCPs submitted. I sent her rate schedules showing that AT&T had agreed to provide 1 Gig Mbps switched Ethernet services to an HCP in Hondo, Texas at a monthly rate of \$214.50, and to an HCP in Independence, Kansas at a monthly rate of \$235.95. These rates were good throughout all

AT&T territories including Missouri. I offered to discuss the rate schedules with Ms. Albert, and I asked her if I could speak with the person who was reviewing the 195.00 urban rate.

19. Attachment 2 is a copy of the email that I sent USAC on behalf of Audrain on June 1, 2017. In my email, I stated:

I understand the \$195 urban rate is still under review. Since these FRNs have not been approved ... I am submitting a new urban rate, similar to the \$195, to be used if the \$195 is not accepted. I have attached the urban rate. This is to be used for the following [HCPs] and [FRNs].

HCP 17380 FRN 1689315 and 1689314

Please call me if you have any questions.

- 20. Attached to my email was a copy of a document showing that an AT&T customer had accepted the rates, terms and conditions of an AT&T switched Ethernet service pricing schedule. I circled the terms of the pricing schedule indicating that the urban rate for the Ethernet circuits should be \$214.50.
- 21. I fully expected that the RHCD would contact me if it had any questions with regard to the \$195 or the \$214.50 urban rate, and UHC would be afforded the opportunity to address any such questions before the RHCD would render its funding decisions. UHC was given no such opportunity. I asked Blythe Albert multiple times to talk to the reviewer and received no replies.
- 22. On June 2, 2017, I was notified that USAC was "unable to provide support" to Audrain, specifically because it had not "demonstrated that the urban rate provided for the requested is 'no higher than the highest tariffed or publicly-available rate charged to a commercial customer for a *functionally similar* service' in any city with a population of 50,000 or more in that state."
- 23. I subsequently learned that the urban rate should have included AT&T's "Basic Port" charge and its "Committed Information Rate" or "CIR." Accordingly, I went back to the

AT&T pricing schedule that I sent Ms. Albert on May 15, 2017, and I circled the \$214.50 port charge and the appropriate CIR. I then wrote the information set forth in Table 3 on page 4 of the pricing schedule.

TABLE 3

BANDWIDTH	PORT CHARGE	CIR	TOTAL
5 Mbps	\$214.50	\$158.85	\$373.35
10 Mbps	\$214.50	\$255.00	\$464.50
20 Mbps	\$214.50	\$321.30	\$535.80
50 Mbps	\$214.50	\$371.25	\$585.75
100 Mbps	\$214.50	\$433.94	\$648.44

Not written, but circled was the rate for a Gig of \$214.50 + \$1,040.07 = \$1,254.57

- 24. Attachment 3 consists of the emails that I sent the RHCD and Ms. Albert on June 12, 2017, and the AT&T pricing schedule that was an attachment to the first of my two emails. I requested feedback on whether the AT&T pricing schedule could be used to document urban rates that would be comprised of its basic port rate and a CIR. Thus, I proposed to use Ethernet urban rates set forth in Table 3 for Funding Year 2017. I inquired whether UHC would be given the opportunity to fix any problems that USAC would have with regard to the proposed urban rates. I also asked for a prompt response to my question so that UHC could complete applications for funding prior to the upcoming deadline.
 - 25. Ms. Albert called me on June 13, 2017 and left the following message:

Hey Geoff, it's Blythe calling from USAC. My direct line is 202-772-5248. About that urban rate document, we've kind of can't talk about them outside of the review but it looks like it has a pretty decent information and a reviewer will definitely reach out to you. I would suggest just submitting your application using that urban rate document if that makes sense and they, the reviewer, will reach out to you and we'll see what comes of that, ok. Anyway, you can call me back but that's pretty much, you know, the best answer I can give you, we don't typically review documents outside of the review. But it, for all intents and purposes, looks like it has decent information to me, I'm not sure what the reviewer will come up with but they will definitely, no question, reach out to you. Ok? Thanks. Bye.

26. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed

on July <u>28</u>, 2017.

Geoff W. Boggs

ATTACHMENT 1

Geoff Boggs

From:

Geoff Boggs

Sent:

Wednesday, March 29, 2017 11:44 AM

To:

'RHC-Assist'

Subject:

RE: Request for Information for HCP#(s) 17380 for FY 2016

Attachments:

AT&T Ethernet @ \$195.00.pdf

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up

Flag Status:

Flagged

I have attached the AT&T tariff which is for up to a 1 Gig for \$195. That will cover this 7 circuits listed

HCP 17380

FRN's

1689315

1689318

1689322

1689314

1689317

1689321

1689323

Please confirm receipt and let me know if we are missing anything.

Thanks

Geoff Boggs USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. P. O. Box 326 Prospect, KY 40059 502-228-1907 888-875-8810 Fax gboggs@uasave.com

From: RHC-Assist [mailto:rhc-assist@usac.org]

Sent: Monday, March 27, 2017 2:51 PM

To: devans@audrainmedicalcenter.com; dawn.evans@ssmhealth.com

Cc: gboggs@uasave.com

Subject: Request for Information for HCP#(s) 17380 for FY 2016

Dawn Evans,

Please see attached document for additional information regarding HCP number(s) 17380 for FY 2016.

Please submit your responses to these inquiries by no later than fourteen (14) calendar days from the date of this letter. Failure to provide the requested information within this time frame will result in denial of the funding requests.

ATTACHMENT 2

Geoff Boggs

From:

Geoff Boggs

Sent:

Thursday, June 01, 2017 11:07 AM

To:

'RHC-Assist'; 'Nikoletta Theodoropoulos'; 'Blythe Albert'

Subject:

HCP 17380 2016 Applications

Attachments:

AT&T Ethernet contract \$214.00 Multi state.pdf

I understand the \$195 urban rate is still under review. Since these FRN's have not been approved and I am submitting a new urban rate, similar to the \$195, to be used if the \$195 is not accepted. I have attached the urban here. This is to be used for the following HCP's and FRN's.

HCP 17380 FRN 1689315 and 1689314

Please call me if you have any questions.

Geoff Boggs USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc. P. O. Box 326 Prospect, KY 40059 502-228-1907 888-875-8810 Fax gboggs@uasave.com

ATTACHMENT 3

Geoff Boggs

From:

Geoff Boggs

Sent:

Monday, June 12, 2017 4:54 PM

To:

'RHC-Assist'; 'Blythe Albert'; 'Nikoletta Theodoropoulos'

Subject:

RE: 2017 Telecommunication Program Applications Urban Rate

Attachments:

AT&T Ethernet contract \$214.00 COS Multi state.pdf

Were you able to review this contract to be used as an urban rate for Ethernet circuits? I would appreciate some feedback.

Thanks,

Geoff Boggs
USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc.
P. O. Box 326
Prospect, KY 40059
502-228-1907
888-875-8810 Fax
gboggs@uasave.com

From: Geoff Boggs [mailto:gboggs@uasave.com]

Sent: Monday, June 12, 2017 7:57 AM

To: 'RHC-Assist' <rhc-assist@usac.org>; 'Blythe Albert' <Blythe.Albert@usac.org>; 'Nikoletta Theodoropoulos'

<Nikoletta.Theodoropoulos@usac.org>

Subject: 2017 Telecommunication Program Applications Urban Rate

Can you give me some feedback?

We are using this urban rate for some Ethernet circuits for the states covered on this contract. The speeds are from 2 Meg to 1 GIG.

If the services are non-Internet Ethernet circuits will this work as an urban rate?

If you have any questions on urban rates will you notify us and give an opportunity to fix it for 2017 applications?

Please respond as soon as possible so that we can complete the applications in question before the deadline.

Geoff Boggs
USF Healthcare Consulting, Inc.
P. O. Box 326
Prospect, KY 40059
502-228-1907
888-875-8810 Fax
gboggs@uasave.com



AT&T MA Reference No. 138180UA AT&T Contract ID No. SDN50MJUPR

ATAT SWITCHED ETHERNET SERVICES (with NETWORK ON DEMAND) Pricing Schedule Provided Pursuant to Custom Terms

Customer	(by its authorized re	presentative)		_ \		
	h			1		
Printed or Name:	Typed KEVE	N Frosc	U			
Title:	CPO				}	
Date:	8/7	5/2016		1	1	

WK# - Interstate-InterLATA - TBD	For AT&T Administrative Use Only
•	Pricing Schedule No
	Original Effective Date:

AT&T Switched Ethernet ServiceSM (with Network On Demand) Pricing Schedule Provided Pursuant to Custom Terms

1. SERVICE, SERVICE PROVIDER(S) and SERVICE PUBLICATION(S)

1.1 AT&T Switched Ethernet ServiceSM

		Areas	
Service	Pubilca	ition location	_

Sarvice	Service Publication (Incorporated by reference)	Service Publication location					
AT&T Switched Ethernet Service SM	AT&T Switched Ethernet Service Guide	http://cpr.att.com/pdf/commonEthServGuide.html.					
Service Providers							

Service Providers					
AT&T Alabama	AT&T Indiana	AT&T Missouri	AT&T Tennessee		
AT&T Arkansas	AT&T Kansas	AT&T Nevada	AT&T Texas		
AT&T California	AT&T Kentucky	AT&T North Cardina	AT&T Wisconsin		
AT&T Florida	AT&T Louislana	AT&T Ohio	Bell South Telecommunications,		
AT&T Georgia	AT&T Michigan	AT&T Oklahoma	LLC d/b/a AT&T Southeast		
AT&T Illinois	AT&T Mississippi	AT&T South Cardina			

1.2 Inside Wiring-

Service AT&T Inside Wiring	_		
Detaile True triaine taining		Service	T&T Inside Wiring

Service Provider	Service Publication	Service Publication Location
Same as the AT&T Service Provider for the	AT&T Inside Wiring Service Attachment	http://cpr.att.com/pd/service_publications/AS
AT&T Switched Ethernel Service		E SDN Inside Wiring Allachment.pdf

2	PRICING SCHEDULE TERM, EFFECTIVE DATES	X	lerm	
	Pricing Schedule Term	36 months		
ľ				

Pricing following the end of Pricing Schedule Term

Non-stabilized prices as modified from time to time in applicable Service Publication or, if there is no such pricing, the pricing in this Pricing Schedule

3. MINIMUM PAYMENT PERIOD

refer to Network on Demand Guide for details.

Service Components	Percentage of Monthly Recurring Charge Applied for Calculation of Early Termination Charges*	Minimum Payment Period per Service Component	
All Service Components	50% plus any unpaid or waived non-recurring charges	Until end of Pricing Schedule Term	
*Early termination charges shall not exceed the total amount of monthly recurring charges for the remainder of the Minimum Payment Period;			

4. ADDS

AT&T Switched Ethernet Service Customer Port Connections may be purchased during the Pricing Schedule Term at the rates, terms and conditions herein.

pca_processed_cs_approved	AT&T and Customer Confidential Information	ASE_NoD_ps_ILEC_etool_customer
	Page 3 of 5	v.09-17-15.1

عد		1700 11	7
~	ETHERNET	O C DAN	KATES

Contract Id: 4870831

				_	
WK#-	Intersta	ite-Int	erLAT	A-1	rBD

For AT&T Administrative Use Only
Pricing Schedule No.
Original Effective Date:

+ cost of circuit

AT&T Switched Ethernet ServiceSM (with Network On Demand) Pricing Schedule Provided Pursuant to Custom Tems
5. RATES and CHARGES

5.1 AT&T SWITCHED ETHERNET SERVICE

5.1.1 Monthly Recurring Charges (MRC)

All Monthly Recurring Charge (MRC) rates are per port. The total MRC for a port is the sum of the Port Connection MRC, the Bandwidth MRC, and any associated Feature MRC(s).

Port Connection MRC

Customer Port Connection Speed	MRC	
100 Mbps	\$214.5	
1 Gbps	\$214.5	

Bandwidth MRC

If Customer changes the CIR and/or CoS configuration during the billing cycle, the Bandwidth MRC will be prorated based on the time interval for each configuration.

	Bandwidth MR	C (100 Mbps and 1 Gbps I	Basic Port Connections),		
	Class of Service (CoS)				
Committed information Rate (CIR)	Non Critical High	Business Critical Medium	Business Critical High	Interactive	Real Time
2 Mbps	\$91.09	\$94.23	\$113,08	\$133.49	\$144.49
4 Mbps	\$107,34	\$110.50	\$129.44	\$145.80	\$157.85
5 Mbps	\$136.61	\$142.97	\$158.85	\$174.74	\$187.44
8 Mbps	\$180.68	\$187.50	\$202.84	\$216 47	\$231.81
10 Mbps	\$210.80	\$221.00	\$255.00	\$289,00	\$309.40
20 Mbps	\$276.32	\$289.17	\$321.30	\$353.43	\$379.13
50 Mbps	\$323.40	\$338.25	\$371.25	\$404.25	\$435.60
100 Mbps	\$380.53	\$400.56	\$433.94	\$467.32	\$500.70
150 Mbps	\$530.94	\$557,29	\$582.82	\$607.95	\$652.53
250 Mbps	\$604.95	\$635.20	\$715.86	\$796.52	\$855.00
400 Mbps	\$665,91	\$699.50	\$778.54	\$857.58	\$920.82
500 Mbps	\$707.17	\$742.33	\$820.47	\$898.61	\$965.03
600 Mbps	\$809.63	\$849.73	\$939.47	\$1002.49	\$1073.14
1000 Mbps	\$918.26	\$965.11	\$1040.07	\$1115.03	\$1195.61

N 2145 10N 3145	204 21450	50M/21459	100m 21455
15885 2550	32120	37125	433.9
Feeture MRC 335 8 464	5350	\$ 5007	= 8 W844
Feature		MRC	
Enhanced Multicast		\$70	

5.1.2 Non Recurring Charges (NRC)

5

Standard Non Recurring Charges for installation of new Customer Port Connections, per the applicable Service Publication, will be waived.

pcs_processed_cs_approved	AT&T and Customer Confidential Information	ASE_NoD_ps_iLEC_eloel_customer
	Page 4 of 5	v.09-17-15.1

EXHIBIT 2

FCC Form

466

Health Care Providers Universal Service Funding Request and Certification Form

Approval by OMB 3060-0804

The deadline to submit this form is the June 30th end of the funding year.

Estimated time per response: 3 hours

Read instructions thoroughly before completing this form. Failure to comply may cause delayed or denied funding. **Block 1: HCP Information** 1 HCP Name Audrain Medical Center 2 HCP Number 17380 3 Form 465 Application #43144266 Consortium Name (If any) Block 2: Bill Payer Information 5 Billed Entity Name Audrain Medical Center 6 Billed Entity FCC RN 0002534535 7 Contact Name David Schulte 8 Address Line 1 620 E Moneroe St 9 Address Line 2 10 City Mexico 11 State MO 12 Zip 65265 13 Contact Phone # 573-582-8301 14 Fax # 573-582-3344 15 Email david.schulte@ssmhc.com **Block 3: Funding Year Information** 16 Funding Year - Check only one box Year 2014 (7/1/2014-6/30/2015) Year 2015 (7/1/2015-6/30/2016) × Year 2016 (7/1/2016-6/30/2017) **Block 4: Service Information** 17 Type of Service & Circuit Bandwidth (Documentation required) Ethernet 1 GIG 18 Total Billed Miles O 19 Maximum Allowable Distance (From Form 465) 163 20 Percentage of HCP's service used for the provision of health care. 100 (If less than 100%, please explain.) If the HCP indicated it is a part-time eligible entity (on Form 465), describe method of allocating prorated support. Connection Information 🐞 🔉 Carrier A Carrier B Cafrier C Carrier D 21 Service Provider Name Charter Communications 22 Service Provider Identification Number (SPIN) 143024207 23 Service Provider Contact Person Name Bill Jecha 24 Service Provider Contact Person's Phone # 800-314-7195 25 Service Provider Contact Person Email bill.jecha@charter.com 620 E Monroe St, Mexico 26 Circuit Start Location 27 Circuit Termination Location 7980 Claytojn Rd, St 28 Billing Account Number 8845 30 115 0010910 29 Tariff, Contract or other document reference number 841476 30 Date Contract Signed or Date HCP Selected Carrier 03-03-2015 31 Contract Expiration Date (mm/dd/yyyy or NA if MTM) 04-07-2020 32 Service Installation Date 04-08-2015 33 Actual Rural Rate per Month (Enclose Documentation) 3000.00 34 If you are a consortium member OR have multiple carriers, please attach a Circuit Diagram to show how the sites interconnect and which carrier(s) provides each circuit segment. Circuit Diagram included: Yes 35 Are you a mobile rural health care provider? No If yes, see instructions and attach a list of all sites to be served. Yes I X

F YOU ARE REQUESTING SUPPORT FOR MILEAGE-BASED CHARGES, COMPL	ETE BLOCK 5 ONLY AND SKIP BLOCK 6. (PLEASE SEE
INSTRUCTIONS). IF YOU ARE REQUESTING SUPPORT BASED ON URBAN/RUR	
COMPLETE ONLY BLOCK 6. YOUR APPLICATION CANNOT BE PROCESSED IF I	
Block 5: Mileage-based Charge Discount Request	d I I I I I V I I V I I V I I I V I I I V I
Complete this block if you are seeking support for mileage (distance-based) charges o	nly. Do not enter any other charges in this block. You may need
to ask your service provider representative to provide this information	
36 Billed Circuit Miles	
37 Monthly Mileage Charges (Exclude Channel Termination chgs, etc.)	
38 Cost per Mile per Month	and in Line 27 (Conjuntrations)
If Line 33 equals Line 37, please ensure that ONLY mileage-related charges	are included in Line 31. (See instructions.)
Block 6: Comprehensive Rate Comparison Request Complete Block 6 if you have not completed Block 5 and are requesting support for al	elements of your telecommunications service necessary for
the provision of health care. The information in this block will establish the difference by	etween the urban and rural rates for your requested service.
Please contact RHCD at (800 453-1546 if you need assistance.	
39 One-time Urban Rate Charge (in selected large city)	
40 One-time Rural Rate Charge (in city where HCP is located)	
41 Monthly Urban Rate (in selected large city), From RHCD	
website: or Other rate documentation attached:	
If your circuit includes charges for mileage over the Maximum Allowable Dist., (L	ne 19), please complete Lines 42 to 44. Otherwise, skip to Block 7.
42 Billed Circuit Miles	
43 Monthly Mileage Based Charges	
44 Cost per Mile per Month	
1 44 COSt per Mile per Mortur	
Block 7: Bid Documentation	
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post	ed on the RHCD website? Yes X No
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD.	ed on the RHCD website? Yes X No
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification	
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined.	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the I in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, reliable to the service of the service o	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the d in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, referencessary for the service to adequately transmit the health care services.	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the din the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems required by the health care provider.
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, response to adequately transmit the health care services. 47 X Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54.601 and 54.603, I certify that the HCP or	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the d in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems required by the health care provider.
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, remediately the service to adequately transmit the health care services. 47 X Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54.601 and 54.603, I certify that the HCP or requirements herein and will abide by all of the relevant requirements, income	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the d in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems required by the health care provider. consortium that I am representing satisfies all of the sluding all applicable FCC rules, with respect to universal
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, response in the service to adequately transmit the health care services. 47 X Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54.601 and 54.603, I certify that the HCP or requirements herein and will abide by all of the relevant requirements, income service benefits provided under 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254. I understand that an	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the d in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems required by the health care provider. consortium that I am representing satisfies all of the cluding all applicable FCC rules, with respect to universal y letter from RHCD that erroneously states that funds will be
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, responses to the service to adequately transmit the health care services. 47 X Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54.601 and 54.603, I certify that the HCP or requirements herein and will abide by all of the relevant requirements, income service benefits provided under 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254. I understand that an made available for the benefit of the applicant may be subject to rescission.	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the d in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems required by the health care provider. consortium that I am representing satisfies all of the cluding all applicable FCC rules, with respect to universal y letter from RHCD that erroneously states that funds will be on.
 Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, reflected in the service to adequately transmit the health care services. 47 X Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54.601 and 54.603, I certify that the HCP or requirements herein and will abide by all of the relevant requirements, inconservice benefits provided under 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254. I understand that an made available for the benefit of the applicant may be subject to rescission. 48 X I hereby certify that the billed entity will maintain complete billing records 	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the d in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems required by the health care provider. consortium that I am representing satisfies all of the sluding all applicable FCC rules, with respect to universal y letter from RHCD that erroneously states that funds will be on.
 Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, response necessary for the service to adequately transmit the health care services. 47 X Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54.601 and 54.603, I certify that the HCP or requirements herein and will abide by all of the relevant requirements, incomplete benefits provided under 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254. I understand that an made available for the benefit of the applicant may be subject to rescission. 48 X I hereby certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above. 	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the d in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems required by the health care provider. consortium that I am representing satisfies all of the cluding all applicable FCC rules, with respect to universal y letter from RHCD that erroneously states that funds will be on. for the service for five years.
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, remecessary for the service to adequately transmit the health care services. 47 X Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54.601 and 54.603, I certify that the HCP or requirements herein and will abide by all of the relevant requirements, incomplete benefits provided under 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254. I understand that an made available for the benefit of the applicant may be subject to rescission. 48 X I hereby certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above form and attachments and that to the best of my knowledge, information.	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the d in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems required by the health care provider. consortium that I am representing satisfies all of the cluding all applicable FCC rules, with respect to universal y letter from RHCD that erroneously states that funds will be on. for the service for five years.
 Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, refinecessary for the service to adequately transmit the health care services 47 X Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54.601 and 54.603, I certify that the HCP or requirements herein and will abide by all of the relevant requirements, incomplete benefits provided under 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254. I understand that an made available for the benefit of the applicant may be subject to rescission 48 X I hereby certify that the billed entity will maintain complete billing records 49 X I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above form and attachments and that to the best of my knowledge, information 	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the d in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems required by the health care provider. consortium that I am representing satisfies all of the cluding all applicable FCC rules, with respect to universal y letter from RHCD that erroneously states that funds will be on. for the service for five years. e-named Billed Entity and HCP, and that I have examined this and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.
Block 7: Bid Documentation 45 Did you receive any bids in response to the Form 465 Request for Services post If you checked yes, copies of the bids MUST be submitted to RHCD. Block 8: Certification 46 X I certify that the above named entity has considered all bids received and requested service or services. The "most cost-effective service" is defined lowest cost after consideration of the features, quality of transmission, remecessary for the service to adequately transmit the health care services. 47 X Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54.601 and 54.603, I certify that the HCP or requirements herein and will abide by all of the relevant requirements, incomplete benefits provided under 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254. I understand that an made available for the benefit of the applicant may be subject to rescission. 48 X I hereby certify that the billed entity will maintain complete billing records. 49 X I certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above form and attachments and that to the best of my knowledge, information. 50 Signature	selected the most cost-effective method of providing the d in the Universal Service Order as the service available at the liability, and other factors that the health care provider deems required by the health care provider. consortium that I am representing satisfies all of the cluding all applicable FCC rules, with respect to universal y letter from RHCD that erroneously states that funds will be on. for the service for five years. e-named Billed Entity and HCP, and that I have examined this and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.

Please remember:

- You must submit one Form 466 for each service (i.e., circuit) for which you request reduced rates. For example:
 - If you are requesting reduced rates for two T1 lines, you must submit two Forms 466.
 - If you are requesting reduced rates for two ISDN lines & one Frame Relay line, you must submit three Forms 466.
- If the service described on this form is subject to the 28-day competitive bidding requirement, do not select a carrier or complete the Form 466 before or during the 28-day posting period.
- You must provide evidence of the urban rate if you have completed Block 6 and have not used the urban rates from the website.
- This form, attachments, and supporting documents should be combined in one envelope and sent to the RHCD.
- If the service described on this form changes (e.g., rate change) during the funding year, you must notify RHCD immediately and submit a revised Form 466.
- If you have any questions, contact RHCD at (800) 453-1546.

Persons willfully making false statements on this form can be punished by fine or forfeiture under the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. Secs. 502, 503(b), or fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1001.

FCC NOTICE FOR INDIVIDUALS REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT AND THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

Part 3 of the Commission's Rules authorize the FCC to request the information on this form. The data reported will be used to ensure that health care providers have selected the most cost-effective method of providing the requested services as set forth in 47 C.F.R. Section 54.603(b)(4). The information will be used by the Universal Service Administrative Company and/or the staff of the Federal Communications Commission, to evaluate this form, to provide information for enforcement and rulemaking proceedings and to maintain a current inventory of applicants, health care providers, billed entities, and service providers. No authorization can be granted unless all information requested is provided. Failure to provide all requested information will delay the processing of the application or result in the application being returned without action. Information requested by this form will be available for public inspection. Your response is required to obtain the requested authorization.

The public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to average 3 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the required data, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. If you have any comments on this burden estimate, or how we can improve the collection and reduce the burden it causes you, please write to the Federal Communications Commission, AMD-PERM, Paperwork Reduction Act Project (3060-0804), Washington, DC 20554. We will also accept your comments regarding the Paperwork Reduction Act aspects of this collection via the Internet if you send them to pra@fcc.gov. PLEASE DO NOT SEND YOUR RESPONSE TO THIS ADDRESS.

Remember - You are not required to respond to a collection of information sponsored by the Federal government, and the government may not conduct or sponsor this collection, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number or if we fail to provide you with this notice. This collection has been assigned an OMB control number of 3060-0804.

THE FOREGOING NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974, PUBLIC LAW 93-579, DECEMBER 31, 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(3) AND THE PAPEWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995, PUBLIC LAW 104-13, OCTOBER 1, 1995, 44 U.S.C. SECTION 3507.

This form should be submitted online through the RHC Program online application system, My Portal. https://forms.universalservice.org/usaclogin/login.asp

Audrain Medical Center

620 E Monroe St

Mexico, MO 65265

HCP:

17380

Charter

143024207

Acct #

8845-30-115-0010910

Circuit terminates at 7980 Clayton Rd St Louis Mo 63117

EVERGREEN STATUS / RHC # 841476

1GIG Ethernet

Rural Rate:

Cost

\$ 3000.00

Urban rate:

Cost \$ 1254.57 x 2=\$2509.14



September 21, 2016

Account: Phone Number: 8845 30 115 0010910

(573) 582-5000

Security Code:

8645

Service At: 620 E MONROE ST MEXICO MO 65265-2919

Contact Us

Questions about your bill or services?

Visit spectrumbusiness.net or call 866.603.3199

Service from 10/01/16 through 10/31/16 **Summary** details on following pages

Previous Balance	-10,031.06
Payments Received	0.00
Remaining Balance	-10,031.06
Spectrum Business™ TV	1,678.42
Spectrum Business™ Internet	3,000.00
Spectrum Business™ Voice	0.00
Taxes, Fees and Charges	106.05
Current Charges	4,784.47
Credit Balance - Do Not Pay	-\$5,246.59

SPECTRUM BUSINESS NEWS

Go Green Sign up for Spectrum Business Auto Pay today and start taking the hassle out of billing. Go to my account at spectrumbusiness.net .



Thank you for choosing Spectrum Business.

We appreciate your prompt payment and value you as a customer

Spectrum.

8413 EXCELSIOR DR 120 MADISON WI 53717-1970 8634 0110 NO RP 21 09222016 NNNNNNNN 01 996399

AUDRAIN MEDICAL CENTER 620 E MONROE ST MEXICO MO 65265-2919

September 21, 2016

Audrain Medical Center

Account:

8845 30 115 0010910

Phone Number: Service At:

(573) 582-5000

620 E MONROE ST MEXICO MO 65265-2919

Credit Balance - Do Not Pay

-\$5,246.59

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS PO BOX 790086 SAINT LOUIS MO 63179-0086

Page 2 of 4

September 21, 2016

Audrain Medical Center

Account:

8845 30 115 0010910

Security Code: 86

8645

Spectrum-BUSINESS

Contact Us

Questions about your bill or services?

Visit spectrumbusiness.net or call 866.603.3199

8634 0110 NO RP 21 09222016 NNNNNNNN 01 996399

Charge Details

Previous Balance	-10,031.06
Remaining Balance	-\$10,031.06

Payments received after 09/21/16 will appear on your next bill. Service from 10/01/16 through 10/31/16

Spectrum B	usiness™ TV	
Basic		227.46
Expanded Basic		150.96
Technology Fee		1,300.00
		\$1,678.42
Fees & Charges	Broadcast TV Surcharge	13.24
	FCC Admin Fee	0.39
	Franchise Fee	92.42
		\$106.05
Spectrum Busin	ness™ TV Total	\$1,784.47
Spectrum B	usiness™ Internet	
GBPS Fiber Intern	et	3,000.00
		\$3,000.00
Spectrum Busin	ness™ Internet Total	\$3,000.00
Spectrum B	usiness™ Voice	
Standard Class Of	f Servic	
		\$0.00
For	additional call details and terms of service, please visit spectrum.net/calldetails.	
Spectrum Busi	ness™ Voice Total	\$0.00

Billing Information

Tax and Fees - This statement reflects the current taxes and fees for your area (including sales, excise, user taxes, etc.). These taxes and fees may change without notice. Visit spectrum.net/taxesandfees for more information.

Terms & Conditions - Charter's detailed standard terms and conditions for service are located at spectrum.net/termsandconditions .

Past Due Fee / Late Fee Reminder - A late fee will be assessed for past due charges for service.

Video Closed Captioning Issues - For closed captioning concerns, call 1-800-314-7195, or email PriorityEscalationTeam@chartercom.com. Send written complaints via US Mail to Executive Escalation Manager, 2 Digital Place, Simpsonville, SC 29681.

Insufficient Funds Payment Policy - Charter may charge an insufficient funds processing fee for all returned checks and bankcard charge-backs. If your check, bankcard (debit or credit) charge, or other instrument or electronic transfer transaction used to pay us is dishonored, refused or returned for any reason, we may electronically debit your account for the payment, plus an insufficient funds processing fee as set forth in your terms of service or on your Video Services rate card (up to the amount allowable by law and any applicable sales tax). Your bank account may be debited as early as the same day payment is dishonored, refused or returned. If your bank account is not debited, the returned check amount (plus fee) must be replaced by cash, cashier's check or money order.

Music Rights Fees – In all cases, you are responsible for and must secure any music rights and/or pay applicable fees required by the American Society of Composers, Authors & Publishers ("ASCAP"), Broadcast Music, Inc. ("BMI") and SESAC, Inc. ("SESAC") or their respective successors, and any other entity, or governmental authority from which a license is necessary in connection with your retransmission, distribution, performance or other such use of Spectrum Business services.

Spectrum Business Voice Provider - Charter Advanced Services (MO), LLC

Continued on the next page....

Local Charter Store: 428 N Kentucky St, Mexico MO Store Hours: Mon thru Fri - 9:00am to 6:00pm; Closed Sat

\$4,784.47

-\$5,246.59

Simplify your life with Auto Pay!

Current Charges

Credit Balance - Do Not Pay

Spend less time paying your bill and more time doing what you love.

It's Easy - No more checks, stamps or trips to the post office It's Secure - Powerful technology keeps your information safe It's Flexible - Use your checking, savings, debit or credit card It's FREE - And helps save time, postage and the environment

Set up easy, automatic bill payments with Visit: spectrumbusiness.net (My Account login required)



Payment Options

Pay Online – Create or Login to MyAccount to pay or view your bill online at spectrumbusiness.net.

Pay by Mail - Detach payment coupon and enclose with your check made payable to Charter. Please do not include correspondences of any type with payments.

For questions or concerns, please call 1.866.603.3199.



